Spectroscopic properties of tungsten–tellurite glasses doped with Er\(^{3+}\) ions at different concentrations
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Abstract

Infrared spectra and lifetimes of \(4I_{13/2}\) state of Er\(^{3+}\) in tungsten–tellurite glasses doped with different concentrations of Er\(_2\)O\(_3\) were measured and studied. Judd–Ofelt analysis on absorption spectra was performed. The lifetime of the \(4I_{13/2}\) state decreases with the increase of the Er\(^{3+}\) concentration due to energy transfer processes. The energy transfer coefficient of \(1.6 \times 10^{-18} cm^3 s^{-1}\) was obtained. It is observed that the nonradiative relaxation rate of \(4I_{11/2}–4I_{13/2}\) transition of Er\(^{3+}\) in tungsten–tellurite glass is unchanged with the increase of Er\(^{3+}\) concentration, and about twice as that in TeO\(_2\)–ZnO–Na\(_2\)O glass.
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1. Introduction

In order to increase the transmission capacity in wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) systems, many glass matrices [1–3] have been investigated extensively to obtain an intrinsically broader gain bandwidth than silica matrix for Er\(^{3+}\)-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA). Compared with silica, Er\(^{3+}\)-doped tellurite glass [4] has a broader emission band at 1.5 \(\mu\)m and a larger stimulated emission cross-section. A tellurite glass based fiber with 76 nm flat gain bands was reported in 1997 [5]. In addition, Er\(^{3+}\) solubility in tellurite glass is better than that in silica, making it possible to have a higher doping level. However, as a host for EDFA, tellurite glass has some drawbacks. First, the softening point of tellurite glass is 290 °C, which makes it liable to thermal damage at high optical intensities. Second, the phonon energy of the glass is about 770 cm\(^{-1}\), which leads to a \(4I_{11/2}–4I_{13/2}\) nonradiative relaxation rate too slow to allow pumping at 980 nm. To overcome those drawbacks, different compound tellurite based glasses have been investigated [6–9], including a tellurite–tungsten (TW) based glass. Tungsten–tellurite glass has the advantages of higher phonon energy, higher softening point, and a significantly broadened emission at 1.5 \(\mu\)m [9,10].

In this paper, we report the effect of Er\(^{3+}\) concentration on optical properties of Er\(^{3+}\) doped tungsten–tellurite glass. Nonradiative processes for \(4I_{11/2}–4I_{13/2}\) and \(4I_{13/2}–4I_{15/2}\) transition of Er\(^{3+}\), J–O intensity parameters and 1.5 \(\mu\)m emission bandwidth are mainly studied. The quenching rate of \(4I_{13/2}–4I_{15/2}\) transition obtained in TW
glass is about $1.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, giving an upper-limit for doping concentration of Er$^{3+}$ ions in TW glass.

2. Experimental

Two types of Er$^{3+}$-doped glass, (1) $(40 - 0.4x)\text{TeO}_2$–$(40 - 0.4x)\text{WO}_3$–$(20 - 0.2x)\text{Li}_2\text{O}$–$x\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0.1$–10) (TW glass) and (2) $70\text{TeO}_2$–$20\text{ZnO}$–$10\text{Na}_2\text{O}$–$0.5\%\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ (TZN glass) were prepared using the conventional melting and quenching method.

Well-mixed powder in a crucible was melted at $750$–$900 ^\circ \text{C}$ for 0.5 h, then was quenched into a preheated brass mold to form glass. The quenched sample is annealed around the glass transition temperature for 2 h. The obtained glass samples were cut and polished into $10 \times 10 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}^3$ size.

Emission spectra in the range of 900–1700 nm were measured using a TRIAX 550 spectrometer with spectral resolution of 1 nm under the excitation of 808 nm from a diode laser. Absorption spectra were measured using a UV-3101PC spectrometer. The lifetime of the 4I$13/2$ state for Er$^{3+}$ was measured with a 500 MHz Tektronix digital oscilloscope model TDS 3052 under excitation of 808 nm light pulses from an Optical Parametric Oscillator (Sunlite 8000). All the measurements were taken at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Infrared emission spectra of Er$^{3+}$

Fig. 1 shows the 4I$11/2$–4I$15/2$ and 4I$13/2$–4I$15/2$ emission spectra of Er$^{3+}$ in $(40 - 0.4x)\text{TeO}_2$–$(40 - 0.4x)\text{WO}_3$–$(20 - 0.2x)\text{Li}_2\text{O}$–$x\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0.1$–10) glasses. The 4I$11/2$–4I$15/2$ emission bands are normalized to the one for Er$_2$O$_3$ concentration of 0.1 mol%.

3.2. Judd–Ofelt analysis on absorption spectra of the TW glass

Fig. 2 shows the absorption spectra of the TW glasses. The absorption spectra consist of seven absorption bands peaked at 1527, 975, 796, 652, 541, 520 and 488 nm, corresponding to the Er$^{3+}$ transitions from the ground state 4I$15/2$ to the excited states 4I$13/2$, 4I$11/2$, 4F$9/2$, 4S$3/2$, H$11/2$ and F$7/2$, respectively. Some optical properties are directly related to Judd–Ofelt (J–O) parameters, which can be obtained by analyzing absorption spectra using J–O theory [11,12]. From the theory, the strength of electric dipole transition between two J states, $S_{ed}$ can be expressed,

$$S_{ed}(J; J') = \sum_{t=2,4,6} \Omega_t(zSL, J) U^{(t)} z'S'L'; J' \right)^2$$

where $\Omega_t$ (t = 2, 4, and 6) is the Judd–Ofelt parameter, $zSL$ define the other quantum numbers of the states, and the $(|U^{(t)}|)$ is doubly reduced matrix element of unit
tensor operator calculated in the intermediate coupling approximation. The \( \Omega \) parameters can be obtained from a least-squares fit of Eq. (1) to the line strength of the absorption bands mentioned above. Absorption data and fitting results are listed in Table 1.

Parameter \( \Omega \) monotonically decreases with the increase of Er\(^{3+} \) concentration, as shown in Table 1, while \( \Omega_4 \) and \( \Omega_6 \) have no significant change when the concentration increases. In general, local structure around the doped ions in the host has a strong effect on J–O parameters. Parameter \( \Omega_2 \) is affected by the covalent bonding and parameters \( \Omega_4 \) and \( \Omega_6 \) are related to the rigidity of the medium where the ions are situated. Thus the experimental results suggest that the covalent bond the Er–O becomes weaker as Er\(^{3+} \) concentration increases in TW glass.

### 3.3. Lifetime and concentration quenching of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level

Fig. 3 represents the lifetimes (circles) and nonradiative transition rates (squares) of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level of Er\(^{3+} \) in TW glass with different Er\(^{3+} \) concentrations. The lifetime of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level decreases with the increase of Er\(^{3+} \) concentration, which can be attributed to the nonradiative relaxation. The rate of nonradiative transition linearly increases with the increase of Er\(^{3+} \) concentration, as shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Dependence of measured lifetimes (squares) and nonradiative decay rates (circles) of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level of Er\(^{3+} \) on Er\(^{3+} \) concentrations in TW glasses. The solid curves 1 and 2 are calculated using \( W_1 = kC + W_{MP} \) and \( 1/(kC + W_{MP} + A_{10}) \), respectively.](image)

The nonradiative relaxation rate (\( W_{10} \)) of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level is obtained using the following equation,

\[
W_{10} = \tau_1^{-1} - A_{10}
\]

where \( \tau_1 \) and \( A_{10} \) are lifetime (measured) and radiative transition rate (calculated) of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level, respectively. The nonradiative relaxation rate consists of a multi-phonon relaxation rate (\( W_{MP} \)) and an energy transfer rate (\( W_{ET} \)) which is Er\(^{3+} \) concentration dependent. Energy transfer rate and Er\(^{3+} \) concentration can be described by a linear relationship, \( W_{ET} = kC \), where \( k \) and \( C \) are energy transfer coefficient and Er\(^{3+} \) concentration, respectively. The value of \( k \) is determined to be \( 1.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) in this work by fitting the experimental data (squares) with a linear function: \( W_1 = kC + W_{MP} \) (curve 1). The curve 2 is given by \( 1/(kC + W_{MP} + A_{10}) \). Both calculated solid curves, as shown in Fig. 3, fit the measured data very well.

### 3.4. The \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) nonradiative relaxation rates in TW glass and TZN glass

Fig. 4 depicts a energy level diagram of Er\(^{3+} \) at low energy levels. When pumped by 808 nm diode laser, Er\(^{3+} \) is excited from ground state to \( ^4I_{9/2} \) level, which rapidly relaxes to \( ^4I_{11/2} \) state through nonradiative decay. Subsequently, the \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level is populated through \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) nonradiative relaxation process. The rate of \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) relaxation is very important for creating population inversion of \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level for optical amplification at 1.5 \( \mu \)m. In the steady excitation, one has

\[
A_{21}n_2 + W_{21}n_2 = n_1/\tau_1
\]

where \( A_{21} \) is \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) radiative transition rate, \( W_{21} \) is \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) nonradiative relaxation rate, \( n_2 \) and \( n_1 \) is the population of \( ^4I_{11/2} \) and \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level respectively. If the intensity ratio of the 1.5 \( \mu \)m emission to the 0.98 \( \mu \)m emission is denoted by \( \alpha \), then

\[
\alpha = A_{10}n_1/A_{20}n_2
\]

From Eqs. (3) and (4), we have

\[
W_{21} = 2A_{21}/A_{10}\tau_1 - A_{21}
\]

where \( A_{21} \) can be neglected because of \( W_{21} \gg A_{21} \), as reported in Ref. [6]. The \( ^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2} \) nonradiative relaxation rates in TW glass and TZN glass are calculated using the following equation:

\[
W_{10} = \tau_1^{-1} - A_{10}
\]

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>( \Omega_2 ) ( 10^{-20} )</th>
<th>( \Omega_4 ) ( 10^{-20} )</th>
<th>( \Omega_6 ) ( 10^{-20} )</th>
<th>( A_{10} ) ( s^{-1} ) ( (^4I_{13/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{11/2}) )</th>
<th>( A_{20} ) ( s^{-1} ) ( (^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{13/2}) )</th>
<th>( A_{21} ) ( s^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \tau_{10} ) (ms)</th>
<th>( \tau_{20} ) (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TW0.2%</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>243.86</td>
<td>362.22</td>
<td>44.87</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW0.5%</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>238.84</td>
<td>344.60</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW1.0%</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>240.28</td>
<td>344.30</td>
<td>43.03</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW2.0%</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>249.87</td>
<td>351.53</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW5.0%</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>245.97</td>
<td>334.49</td>
<td>43.24</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW10%</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>252.35</td>
<td>346.35</td>
<td>44.48</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZN0.5%</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>220.2</td>
<td>304.51</td>
<td>38.59</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
relaxation rates \( W_{21} \) are then calculated using the data listed in Table 1, and the measured lifetime from Fig. 3, and Eq. (5). The rates are normalized to the one for the lowest \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) concentration in the TW glasses and are plotted in Fig. 5.

As indicated in Fig. 5, \( W_{21} \) increases slightly with the increase of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) concentration. This is due to the domination of multiphonon decay in the \( {^4}\text{I}_{11/2} \rightarrow {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) nonradiative relaxation; the contribution from the energy transfer, which is concentration dependent, is negligible, even in the case of high concentration of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) doped TW glass. \( W_{21} \) for a TZN glass is also plotted in Fig. 5. It is observed that \( W_{21} \) for \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) doped TW glass is about twice as high as \( W_{21} \) for \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) doped TZN glass. Hence, population feeding of \( {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) in TW glass is more effective than that in TZN glass under 0.98 µm pumping into the \( {^4}\text{I}_{11/2} \) level of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions.

4. Conclusions

The 1.5 µm emission band becomes broader with increasing concentration of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions in tungsten–tellurite glasses. The values of FWHM at 1.5 µm emission range from 65 to 110 nm. J-O analysis indicates that the covalency of the Er–O bond becomes weaker with the increase of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) concentration in TW glasses. The lifetime of the \( {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) state decreases with the increase of the \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) concentration due to energy transfer process. The energy transfer coefficient among \( {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) states of different \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions in TW glass was determined to be \( 1.6 \times 10^{-18} \) cm\(^3\) s\(^-1\). The nonradiative relaxation rate of \( {^4}\text{I}_{11/2} \rightarrow {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) transition of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) in TW glass remains unchanged with the increase of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) concentration, and is about twice as high as that in \( \text{TeO}_2–\text{ZnO–Na}_2\text{O} \) glass. Hence, population feeding of \( {^4}\text{I}_{13/2} \) of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) in TW glass is more effective than that in TZN glass under 980 nm pumping into the \( {^4}\text{I}_{11/2} \) level of \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions.
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